

On the intersections of solvable Hall subgroups in finite groups¹

In the paper we consider the following conjecture: if a finite group G possesses a solvable π -Hall subgroup H , then there exist elements $x, y, z, t \in G$ such that the identity $H \cap H^x \cap H^y \cap H^z \cap H^t = O_\pi(G)$ holds. The minimal counter example is shown to be an almost simple group of Lie type.

Keywords: Solvable Hall subgroup, finite simple group, π -radical

Introduction

The notation in the paper is standard and agree with that of [1]. In this paper we consider finite groups only, so the term “group” always means “finite group”. By π we always denote a set of primes, by π' its complement in the set of all primes is denoted. If n is a positive integer, then a set of all prime divisors of n is denoted by $\pi(n)$. A number n is called a π -number, if $\pi(n) \subseteq \pi$. For a finite group G we set $\pi(G) := \pi(|G|)$. A group G is called a π -group, if $\pi(G) \subseteq \pi$. The maximal normal π -subgroup of G is denoted by $O_\pi(G)$. A subgroup H of G is called a π -Hall subgroup, if $\pi(H) \subseteq \pi$ and $\pi(|G : H|) \subseteq \pi'$. The set of all π -Hall subgroups of G is denoted by $\text{Hall}_\pi(G)$, the set of all Sylow p -subgroups of G is denoted by $\text{Syl}_p(G)$ (clearly, $\text{Syl}_p(G) = \text{Hall}_p(G)$). A group G is called a π -solvable, if it possesses a subnormal series $\{e\} = G_0 < G_1 < G_2 < \dots < G_{n-1} < G_n = G$ such that all its section are either π' -groups, or solvable groups. For a group G and a subgroup S of S_n by $G \wr S$ a permutation wreath product is denoted.

After Ph.Hall [2] we say that a group G satisfies E_π (or briefly $G \in E_\pi$), if G possesses a π -Hall subgroup. If $G \in E_\pi$ and all π -Hall subgroups are conjugate, then we say that G satisfies C_π ($G \in C_\pi$). If $G \in C_\pi$ and every π -subgroup of G is included into a π -Hall subgroup of G , then we say that G satisfies D_π ($G \in D_\pi$).

Let A, B, H be subgroups of G such that $B \trianglelefteq A$. Then $N_H(A/B) = N_H(A) \cap N_H(B)$ is called a normalizer of A/B . If $x \in N_H(A/B)$, then x induces an automorphism of A/B , acting by $Ba \mapsto Bx^{-1}ax$. Thus there exists a homomorphism $N_H(A/B) \rightarrow \text{Aut}(A/B)$. The image of $N_H(A/B)$ under this homomorphism is denoted by $\text{Aut}_H(A/B)$ and is called a group of induces automorphisms of A/B in H . Note that for given composition factor A/B of G the group of induced automorphisms $\text{Aut}_G(A/B)$ depends on the choice of A and B , i. e., depends on the choice of a composition series. If $A \leq G$, then $\text{Aut}_G(A) = \text{Aut}_G(A/\{e\})$ by definition.

In this paper we consider the following

Conjecture 1. *Let H be a solvable π -Hall subgroup of a finite group G . Then there exist elements x, y, z, t such that the equality*

$$H \cap H^x \cap H^y \cap H^z \cap H^t = O_\pi(G) \quad (1)$$

holds

¹ The work is supported by SB RAS and UrB RAS, Integration project “Groups and graphs”. The first author is supported by RF President grant for young scientists, MK-3036.2007.1, RF President grant for scientific schools NSC-344.2008.1, and RFBR, grant N 08-01-00322. The second author is supported by RFBR, grant N 07-01-00148.

We show that a minimal counter example to this conjecture is an almost simple group, and also we show that Conjecture 1 is satisfied for almost simple groups with simple socle isomorphic to an alternating or a sporadic group.

Let $\overline{} : G \rightarrow G/O_\pi(G)$ be the natural homomorphism. Using elementary equation $|A \cdot B| = \frac{|A| \cdot |B|}{|A \cap B|}$, where A and B are subgroups of G , we obtain (under Conjecture 1) that for a π -Hall subgroup H the series of inequalities

$$\begin{aligned} |\overline{G}| &\geq |\overline{H} \cdot \overline{H}^x| = \frac{|\overline{H}| \cdot |\overline{H}^x|}{|\overline{H} \cap \overline{H}^x|} = \frac{|\overline{H}| \cdot |\overline{H}^x|}{|\overline{H} \cap \overline{H}^x|} \cdot \frac{|\overline{H} \cap \overline{H}^x| \cdot |\overline{H}^y|}{|\overline{H} \cap \overline{H}^x \cap \overline{H}^y| \cdot |(\overline{H} \cap \overline{H}^x) \overline{H}^y|} \geq \\ &\frac{|\overline{H}| \cdot |\overline{H}^x| \cdot |\overline{H}^y|}{|\overline{H} \cap \overline{H}^x \cap \overline{H}^y| \cdot |\overline{G}|} \geq \dots \geq \frac{|\overline{H}|^5}{|\overline{G}|^3} \end{aligned}$$

holds. Thus an affirmative answer to Conjecture 1 implies that the inequality $|H/O_\pi(G)| < |G : H|^4$ holds.

Note that D.S.Passman in [3] proved that a p -solvable group always possesses three Sylow p -subgroups such that their intersection is equal to $O_p(G)$. Later V.I.Zenkov proved the same statement for an arbitrary group (see [4, Corollary C]). In [5] S.Dolfi proved that if $2 \notin \pi$, then every π -solvable group G possesses three π -Hall subgroups such that their intersection is equal to $O_\pi(G)$. In [6] S.Dolfi proved that every in π -solvable group G there exist elements $x, y \in G$ such that the equality $H \cap H^x \cap H^y = O_\pi(G)$ holds (see also [7]). On the other hand, the condition of solvability of H in Conjecture 1 is essential. Indeed, if p is a prime, then S_{p-1} is a p' -Hall subgroup of S_p , but the intersection of every $p-2$ conjugate subgroups is not equal to $\{e\}$.

Let G be a subgroup of the symmetric group Sym_n . A partition $P_1 \sqcup P_2 \sqcup \dots \sqcup P_m$ of $\{1, \dots, n\}$ is called an *asymmetric partition* for G , if only the identity element of G fixes the partition, i. e., the equality $P_j x = P_j$ for all $j = 1, \dots, m$ implies that $x = e$. Clearly for every subgroup G the partition $P_1 = \{1\}, P_2 = \{2\}, \dots, P_n = \{n\}$ is always asymmetric. In [8, Theorem 1.2] is proven that for G solvable there exists an asymmetric partition with $m \leq 5$.

1 Preliminary results

The following statements are known.

Lemma 1. *Let A be a normal subgroup of G . Then the following statements hold:*

- (a) *for H a π -Hall subgroups of G we have that HA/A and $H \cap A$ are π -Hall subgroups of G/A and A respectively;*
- (b) *if $A \in C_\pi$ and $G/A \in E_\pi$ (resp. $G/A \in C_\pi$), then $G \in E_\pi$ (resp. $G \in C_\pi$);*
- (c) *if there exists a subnormal series of G such that all its factors are either π - or π' -groups, then $G \in D_\pi$.*
- (d) *if G/A is a π -group and $H \in \text{Hall}_\pi(A)$, then a π -Hall subgroup $\overline{H}$ of G with $\overline{H} \cap A = H$ exists if and only if G acting by conjugation leaves the set $\{H^a \mid a \in A\}$ invariant.*

Let S be a non-Abelian finite simple group and G is such that there exists a normal subgroup $T = S_1 \times \dots \times S_n$ of G satisfying the following conditions

- (a) $S_1 \simeq \dots \simeq S_n \simeq S$;
- (b) the action of G on $\{S_1, \dots, S_n\}$ by conjugation is transitive;
- (c) $C_G(S_1, \dots, S_n) = \{e\}$.

Subgroups $N_G(S_1), \dots, N_G(S_n)$ are conjugate, since the action of G is transitive. Let $\rho : G \rightarrow \text{Sym}_n$ be the permutation representation of G on the right cosets of $N_G(S_1)$. Since the action by right multiplication of G on the right cosets of $N_G(S_1)$ coincide with the action by conjugation of G on the set $\{S_1, \dots, S_n\}$ we obtain that $G\rho$ is a transitive subgroup of Sym_n . By [9, Hauptsatz 1.4, p. 413] there exists a monomorphism

$$\varphi : G \rightarrow (N_G(S_1) \times \dots \times N_G(S_n)) : (G\rho) = N_G(S_1) \wr (G\rho) = L.$$

Consider the natural homomorphism

$$\psi : L \rightarrow L/(C_G(S_1) \times \dots \times C_G(S_n)).$$

Denoting the subgroup $\text{Aut}_G(S_i) = N_G(S_i)/C_G(S_i)$ by A_i we obtain that

$$\varphi \circ \psi : G \rightarrow (A_1 \times \dots \times A_n) : (G\rho)$$

is a homomorphic inclusion of G into $(A_1 \times \dots \times A_n) : (G\rho) \simeq A_1 \wr (G\rho) =: \overline{G}$. The kernel of the homomorphism is equal to $C_G(S_1, \dots, S_n) = \{e\}$, i. e., $\varphi \circ \psi$ is a monomorphism and we identify G with the subgroup $G(\varphi \circ \psi)$ of $\overline{G}$.

Lemma 2. *In the above notation assume that there exists a π -Hall subgroup H of G and $G = (S_1 \times \dots \times S_n)H$. Then there exists a π -Hall subgroup $\overline{H}$ of $\overline{G}$ such that $\overline{H} \cap G = H$.*

P r o o f. The factor group $\overline{G}/(S_1 \times \dots \times S_n)$ is a π -group. By construction $\text{Aut}_{\overline{G}}(S_1) = \text{Aut}_G(S_1)$ and $\text{Aut}_{\overline{G}}(S_i) = \text{Aut}_G(S_i) \simeq \text{Aut}_G(S_1)$ for all i . By Lemma 1(d) a π -Hall subgroup M of $S_1 \times \dots \times S_k$ is included into a π -Hall subgroup of G (resp. of $\overline{G}$) if and only if the set $\{M^s \mid s \in S_1 \times \dots \times S_k\}$ is invariant under the action by conjugation of G (resp. of $\overline{G}$). In order to complete the proof it is enough to show that for H a π -Hall subgroup of G and $M = H \cap (S_1 \times \dots \times S_n)$ the class $\{M^s \mid s \in S_1 \times \dots \times S_n\}$ is $\overline{G}$ -invariant. Indeed, in this case there exists a π -Hall subgroup $\overline{H}$ of $\overline{G}$ with $\overline{H} \cap (S_1 \times \dots \times S_n) = M$. Hence $H, \overline{H} \leq N_{\overline{G}}(M)$. Since $\overline{G}/(S_1 \times \dots \times S_n)$ is a π -group Lemma 1(c) implies that $N_{\overline{G}}(M) \in D_\pi$, so H is conjugate to a subgroup of $\overline{H}$. The fact that $\{M^s \mid s \in S_1 \times \dots \times S_n\}$ is $\overline{G}$ -invariant follows easily from the construction of $\overline{G}$ and inclusion of G into $\overline{G}$, and also from the fact that $\{M^s \mid s \in S_1 \times \dots \times S_n\}$ is G -invariant by Lemma 1(d). Indeed, every element from $\overline{G}$ can be written as $(a_1, \dots, a_n)g$, where $a_i \in A_i$ and $g \in G$. Since $\{M^s \mid s \in S_1 \times \dots \times S_n\}$ is G -invariant, we may assume that $g = e$. More over, for every i the class $\{(M \cap S_i)^x \mid x \in S_i\}$ is A_i -invariant by construction, and the lemma follows. $\square$

Lemma 3. [10] *Let A be an Abelian subgroup of a finite group G . Then there exists $x \in G$ such that $A \cap A^x \leq F(G)$.*

Corollary 1. *Let $G = P : R$, where P is a normal Sylow p -subgroup of G and R is an Abelian p' -Hall subgroup of G with $C_R(P) = \{e\}$. Then there exists $x \in P$ such that $R \cap R^x = \{e\}$.*

2 Reduction to an almost simple group

For inductive arguments we need to define an additional condition **(Orb)** to Conjecture 1, which also need a verification. It is clear that for $h \in H$ and four-tuple (H^x, H^y, H^z, H^t) satisfying (1), the four-tuple $(H^{xh}, H^{yh}, H^{zh}, H^{th})$ also satisfies (1). Thus H acts by conjugation on the set of four-tuples (H^x, H^y, H^z, H^t) satisfying (1). Condition **(Orb)** states that there exists at least five orbits under this action of H .

Let G be a finite group and subgroups A, B of G are chosen so that A/B is a non-Abelian composition factor of G . The group G is said to satisfy condition **(CI) $_{\pi}$** , if for every composition factor A/B (and for every choice of A, B) Conjecture 1 and condition **(Orb)** are satisfied for $\text{Aut}_G(A/B)$.

Theorem 1. *Let H be a solvable π -Hall subgroup of G , and G satisfies **(CI) $_{\pi}$** . Then there exist $x, y, z, t \in G$ such that (1) holds. Moreover, if G is insolvable, then it satisfies **(Orb)**.*

P r o o f. Let G be a counter example to the statement of the theorem of minimal order. By [6] (see also [7]) G is insolvable. Let $S(G)$ be a solvable radical of G . Note that solvability of H implies that $O_{\pi}(G)$ is also solvable, so $O_{\pi}(G) \leq S(G)$.

Assume that $S(G)$ is nontrivial. By Lemma 1(a), $H_1 = H \cap S(G)$ is a π -Hall subgroup of $S(G)$ and $|S(G)| < |G|$. Hence $S(G)$ satisfies to the statement of the theorem. More over, denoting $G/S(G)$ by $\overline{G}$ we obtain that $\overline{G}$ also satisfies to the statement of the theorem, and $O_{\pi}(\overline{G}) = \{\bar{e}\}$. The Frattini argument (since $S(G)$ is solvable, all its π -Hall subgroups are conjugate) implies that the equality $G = N_G(H_1)S(G)$ holds. Choose elements $x_1, y_1, z_1, t_1 \in S(G)$ so that the equality

$$H_1 \cap H_1^{x_1} \cap H_1^{y_1} \cap H_1^{z_1} \cap H_1^{t_1} = O_{\pi}(S(G)) = O_{\pi}(G)$$

is true. Elements $x_2, y_2, z_2, t_2 \in G$ we choose so that the equality

$$\overline{H} \cap \overline{H}^{\bar{x}_2} \cap \overline{H}^{\bar{y}_2} \cap \overline{H}^{\bar{z}_2} \cap \overline{H}^{\bar{t}_2} = \{\bar{e}\} \quad (2)$$

is true. Now choose a π -Hall subgroup H in $N_G(H_1)$, in $N_G(H_1^{x_1})$ we choose a π -Hall subgroup H^x so that its image in $\overline{G}$ coincides with $\overline{H}^{\bar{x}_2}$ and so on; in $N_G(H_1^{t_1})$ we choose a π -Hall subgroup H^t so that its image in $\overline{G}$ coincides with $\overline{H}^{\bar{t}_2}$ (such subgroups exist by Lemma 1(d)).

We show that $D = H \cap H^x \cap H^y \cap H^z \cap H^t = O_{\pi}(G)$. We have

$$\begin{aligned} D \cap S(G) &= H \cap H^x \cap H^y \cap H^z \cap H^t \cap S(G) = \\ &= (H \cap S(G)) \cap (H^x \cap S(G)) \cap (H^y \cap S(G)) \cap (H^z \cap S(G)) \cap (H^t \cap S(G)) = \\ &= H_1 \cap H_1^{x_1} \cap H_1^{y_1} \cap H_1^{z_1} \cap H_1^{t_1} = O_{\pi}(G), \end{aligned}$$

i. e. $D \cap S(G) = O_{\pi}(G)$. More over

$$\overline{D} = \overline{H} \cap \overline{H}^{\bar{x}_2} \cap \overline{H}^{\bar{y}_2} \cap \overline{H}^{\bar{z}_2} \cap \overline{H}^{\bar{t}_2} = \{\bar{e}\},$$

so $D = O_{\pi}(G)$. Since G is insolvable, the factor group $\overline{G}$ is insolvable as well. By induction, there exist at least five four-tuples $(\overline{H}^{\bar{x}_2}, \overline{H}^{\bar{y}_2}, \overline{H}^{\bar{z}_2}, \overline{H}^{\bar{t}_2})$, satisfying (2), under action by conjugation of H . Therefore there exists at least five four-tuples (H^x, H^y, H^z, H^t) satisfying (1). A contradiction with the fact, that G is a minimal counter example. Hence $S(G) = \{e\}$.

Consider $G_1 = F^*(G)H$. Then $C_G(F^*(G)) \leq F^*(G)$, and, since $S(G) = \{e\}$, we have that $F(G) = \{e\}$ and $F^*(G) = E(G) = S_1 \times \dots \times S_k$, where $S_1, \dots, S_k$ are non-Abelian simple groups. Therefore H acts by conjugation faithfully on $E(G)$. If $G \neq G_1$, then G_1 satisfies to the statement of the theorem by induction. Hence G also satisfies to the statements of the theorem, i. e., G is not a minimal counter example. So $G = G_1 = E(G)H$. Since $S_1, \dots, S_k$ are simple we obtain that G acting by conjugation permutes elements of $\{S_1, \dots, S_k\}$.

Assume that G or, equivalently H acts intransitively on the set $\{S_1, \dots, S_k\}$. Since $E(G) = S_1 \times \dots \times S_k$ we have that $E_1 = \langle S_1^H \rangle \neq E(G)$ is a normal subgroup of G . So $E(G) = E_1 \times E_2$, where E_1 and E_2 are H -invariant subgroups. Therefore there exists a homomorphism $G \rightarrow G/(C_G(E_1)) \times G/(C_G(E_2))$ such that its image is a subdirect product of $G/(C_G(E_1))$ and $G/(C_G(E_2))$, while the kernel is $C_G(E_1) \cap C_G(E_2) = C_G(E(G)) = \{e\}$. Denote the projections of G onto $G/(C_G(E_1))$ and $G/(C_G(E_2))$ by π_1 and π_2 respectively. Since $G = E(G)H$ and $E_1 \leq \text{Ker}(\pi_2)$, $E_2 \leq \text{Ker}(\pi_1)$ we obtain the following equalities $G\pi_1 = E_1(H\pi_1)$ and $G\pi_2 = E_2(H\pi_2)$ (we identify $E_i\pi_i$ and E_i , since $E_i\pi_i \simeq E_i$). By induction there exist $x_i, y_i, z_i, t_i \in E_i(H\pi_i)$ such that

$$(H\pi_i) \cap (H\pi_i)^{x_i} \cap (H\pi_i)^{y_i} \cap (H\pi_i)^{z_i} \cap (H\pi_i)^{t_i} = \{e\}. \quad (3)$$

Since $G\pi_i = E_i(H\pi_i)$ we may assume that elements x_i, y_i, z_i, t_i are in E_i . Consider $x = x_1x_2$, $y = y_1y_2$, $z = z_1z_2$, $t = t_1t_2$. Since (3) is true for all i it follows that for elements x, y, z, t equality (1) is true. Since there exist at least five orbits of four-tuples $((H\pi_1)^{x_1}, (H\pi_1)^{y_1}, (H\pi_1)^{z_1}, (H\pi_1)^{t_1})$ under the action of $H\pi_1$ we obtain that there exist at least five four-tuples (H^x, H^y, H^z, H^t) under the action of H . Thus G satisfies to the statements of the theorem, i. e., G is not a counter example.

Thus H acts transitively on $\{S_1, \dots, S_k\}$ and, in view of condition **(CI)** $_\pi$, we may assume that $k > 1$. By Lemma 2 we also may assume that $G = (A_1 \times \dots \times A_k) : L = A_1 \wr L$, where $S_i \leq A_i \leq \text{Aut}(S_i)$ and L is the image of G or, that is the same, of H in Sym_k (in particular L is a solvable π -group). Denote $H \cap A_i$ by H_i . By Lemma 1 the subgroup H_i is a solvable π -Hall subgroup of A_i . In view of condition **(CI)** $_\pi$, there exist at least five orbits of four-tuples $(H_1^{x_1}, H_1^{y_1}, H_1^{z_1}, H_1^{t_1})$, satisfying the equality

$$H_1 \cap H_1^{x_1} \cap H_1^{y_1} \cap H_1^{z_1} \cap H_1^{t_1} = \{e\},$$

under the action of H_1 . Set $(H_1^{x_{1,j}}, H_1^{y_{1,j}}, H_1^{z_{1,j}}, H_1^{t_{1,j}})$ to be a representative of the j -th orbit ($j = 1, 2, 3, 4, 5$). Let $h \in H$ and $S_1^h = S_i$ (hence, $H_1^h = H_i$). Consider

$$(H_1^{x_{1,j}}, H_1^{y_{1,j}}, H_1^{z_{1,j}}, H_1^{t_{1,j}}) \text{ and } (H_1^{x_{1,l}}, H_1^{y_{1,l}}, H_1^{z_{1,l}}, H_1^{t_{1,l}})$$

, representatives of distinct orbits of four-tuples under the action of H_1 . It is clear that

$$((H_1^{x_{1,j}})^h, (H_1^{y_{1,j}})^h, (H_1^{z_{1,j}})^h, (H_1^{t_{1,j}})^h) \text{ and } ((H_1^{x_{1,l}})^h, (H_1^{y_{1,l}})^h, (H_1^{z_{1,l}})^h, (H_1^{t_{1,l}})^h)$$

are also representatives of distinct orbits of four-tuples under the action of H_i . More over, the set of four-tuples

$$\{((H_1^{x_{1,j}})^h \cap S_i, (H_1^{y_{1,j}})^h \cap S_i, (H_1^{z_{1,j}})^h \cap S_i, (H_1^{t_{1,j}})^h \cap S_i) \mid h \in H\}$$

is in the same orbit under the action of H_i . Note that the subgroup $H_{1,j_1} \times \dots \times H_{k,j_k}$ is a π -Hall subgroup of $S_1 \times \dots \times S_k$ (see Lemma 1(a)) and is contained in a π -Hall subgroup of G for every choice of j_i -s from $\{1, 2, 3, 4, 5\}$ (see Lemma 1(d)).

By [8, Theorem 1.2] there exists an asymmetric partition $P_1 \sqcup P_2 \sqcup P_3 \sqcup P_4 \sqcup P_5$ множества $\{1, \dots, k\}$ (some of these sets may be empty) such that only identity element of $L (\simeq G/(S_1 \times \dots \times S_k))$ stabilizes this partition. Set $j(i) = m$, if $i \in P_m$ and consider subgroups

$$M_1 = H_1 \times \dots \times H_k, M_2 = H_1^{x_{1,j(1)}} \times \dots \times H_k^{x_{k,j(k)}}, M_3 = H_1^{y_{1,j(1)}} \times \dots \times H_k^{y_{k,j(k)}}, \\ M_4 = H_1^{z_{1,j(1)}} \times \dots \times H_k^{z_{k,j(k)}}, M_5 = H_1^{t_{1,j(1)}} \times \dots \times H_k^{t_{k,j(k)}}.$$

By construction there exist elements $x, y, z, t \in S_1 \times \dots \times S_k$ such that $M_2 = M_1^x, M_3 = M_1^y, M_4 = M_1^z, M_5 = M_1^t$. We show that $H \cap H^x \cap H^y \cap H^z \cap H^t = \{e\}$. Note that by construction $H \cap (S_1 \times \dots \times S_k) = M_1$, $H^x \cap (S_1 \times \dots \times S_k) = M_2$, $H^y \cap (S_1 \times \dots \times S_k) = M_3$, $H^z \cap (S_1 \times \dots \times S_k) = M_4$, $H^t \cap (S_1 \times \dots \times S_k) = M_5$, so if $h \in H \cap H^x \cap H^y \cap H^z \cap H^t$, then h normalizes subgroups M_1, M_2, M_3, M_4, M_5 . Assume that $S_i^h = S_{h(i)}$, then $H_i^h = H_{h(i)}$, while tuples

$$((H_i^{x_{i,j(i)}})^h, (H_i^{y_{i,j(i)}})^h, (H_i^{z_{i,j(i)}})^h, (H_i^{t_{i,j(i)}})^h), (H_{h(i)}^{x_{h(i),j(h(i))}}, H_{h(i)}^{y_{h(i),j(h(i))}}, H_{h(i)}^{z_{h(i),j(h(i))}}, H_{h(i)}^{t_{h(i),j(h(i))}})$$

are in the same $H_{h(i)}$ -orbit. Hence $j(i)$ and $j(h(i))$ are in the same set P_j for $j = 1, 2, 3, 4, 5$. Therefore h stabilizes the partition $P_1 \sqcup P_2 \sqcup P_3 \sqcup P_4 \sqcup P_5$ of $\{1, \dots, k\}$, so its image in L equals e . Hence $h \in M_1 \cap M_2 \cap M_3 \cap M_4 \cap M_5 = \{e\}$.

Choosing M_2, M_3, M_4, M_5 to be equal to $H_1^{x_{1,j(1)}+1} \times \dots \times H_k^{x_{k,j(k)}+1}, H_1^{y_{1,j(1)}+1} \times \dots \times H_k^{y_{k,j(k)}+1}, H_1^{z_{1,j(1)}+1} \times \dots \times H_k^{z_{k,j(k)}+1}, H_1^{t_{1,j(1)}+1} \times \dots \times H_k^{t_{k,j(k)}+1}, \dots, H_1^{x_{1,j(1)}+4} \times \dots \times H_k^{x_{k,j(k)}+4}, H_1^{y_{1,j(1)}+4} \times \dots \times H_k^{y_{k,j(k)}+4}, H_1^{z_{1,j(1)}+4} \times \dots \times H_k^{z_{k,j(k)}+4}, H_1^{t_{1,j(1)}+4} \times \dots \times H_k^{t_{k,j(k)}+4}$ we obtain at least five orbits of four-tuples, satisfying (1), under the action of H . $\square$

3 Intersection of solvable Hall subgroups in almost simple groups

In this section we prove that a finite almost simple group, with simple socle isomorphic to either an alternating or a sporadic group, satisfies **(CI)** $_{\pi}$.

Theorem 2. *Let H be a solvable π -Hall subgroup of an almost simple group G . Assume also that the socle $F^*(G)$ is isomorphic to either an alternating group, or a sporadic group. Then there exist $x, y, z, t \in G$ such that equality (1) holds. More over, G satisfies **(Orb)**.*

P r o o f. We show first that if G possesses elements x, y, z such that $H \cap H^x \cap H^y \cap H^z = \{e\}$, then there exists at least five orbits of four-tuples (H^x, H^y, H^z, H^t) , satisfying (1), under the action by conjugation of H . Indeed, if $H \cap H^x \cap H^y \cap H^z = \{e\}$, then four-tuples

$$(H, H^x, H^y, H^z), (H^x, H, H^y, H^z), (H^x, H^y, H, H^z), (H^x, H^y, H^z, H), (H^x, H^x, H^y, H^z)$$

are in distinct H -orbits.

Now we proceed by investigating distinct almost simple groups. For given almost simple group we try to find elements x, y, z , with $H \cap H^x \cap H^y \cap H^z = \{e\}$ first (as we noted above, in this case the condition on the number of H -orbits is satisfied automatically), and only if such elements do not exist, we find four elements x, y, z, t and prove that there exist at least five H -orbits. Set $S = F^*(G)$ to be a simple socle of G .

(I) $S \simeq \text{Alt}_n$, $n \geq 5$. By [11, Theorem 4.3] and Lemma 1(d) it follows that every π -Hall subgroup of S is contained in a π -Hall subgroup of $\text{Aut}(S)$. Hence [2, Theorem A4] implies that either $|\pi \cap \pi(S)| = 1$ and H is a Sylow subgroup of S (hence of G), or $n = 5, 7$ or 8 and $\pi \cap \pi(S) = \{2, 3\}$. If H is a Sylow subgroup, then by [4, Corollary C] it follows that there exist $x, y \in G$ such that $H \cap H^x \cap H^y = \{e\}$. So assume that H is a $\{2, 3\}$ -Hall subgroup of G and $n = 5, 7$ or 8 . In this case $\text{Aut}(\text{Alt}_n) = \text{Sym}_n$ and, since $G = HS$, we need to prove the statement of the theorem for the case $G = \text{Aut}(S) = \text{Sym}_n$. Consider all three possibilities for n .

If $G = \text{Sym}_5$, then $H = \text{Sym}_4$ is a point stabilizer in the natural permutation representation. Since the intersection of every four point stabilizers is trivial and point stabilizers of a transitive group are conjugate, there exist $x, y, z \in G$ such that $H \cap H^x \cap H^y \cap H^z = \{e\}$.

If $G = \text{Sym}_7$, then $H = \text{Sym}_3 \times \text{Sym}_4$. Up to conjugation in G , sets $\{1, 2, 3\}$ and $\{4, 5, 6, 7\}$ are H -orbits. Direct calculations show that for $x = (2, 4)(3, 5)$ and $y = (1, 2, 4)(3, 6, 5)$ the equality $H \cap H^x \cap H^y = \{e\}$ holds.

If $G = \text{Sym}_8$, then $H = \text{Sym}_4 \wr \text{Sym}_2$. Up to conjugation in G we may assume that H is generated by $(1, 2, 3, 4), (1, 2), (1, 5)(2, 6)(3, 7)(4, 8)$. In this case there do not exist elements $x, y, z \in G$ such that $H \cap H^x \cap H^y \cap H^z = \{e\}$. Indeed, the action by right multiplication of G on the set of right cosets $G : H$ gives an embedding of G into Sym_{35} (and the image of G under this embedding is primitive). By using [12] it is easy to check that on the set $(G : H)^4$ under this action there exist 152 orbits, of order at most $20160 = |G|/2$, i. e., the stabilizer of every four points is nontrivial. This means that the intersection of every four conjugate with H subgroups (by construction H is a point stabilizer) is nontrivial.

For elements $x = (4, 5)$, $y = (3, 5)(4, 6)$, $z = (4, 7, 6, 5)$, $t = (2, 3, 4, 5)$ the equality $H \cap H^x \cap H^y \cap H^z \cap H^t = \{e\}$ holds. We estimate the number of orbits of H on the set X of four-tuples

$$X := \{(H^x, H^y, H^z, H^t) \mid H \cap H^x \cap H^y \cap H^z \cap H^t = \{e\}\}.$$

Since the equality $N_G(H) = H$ holds, an element $g \in G$ leaves the four-tuple (H^x, H^y, H^z, H^t) invariant if and only if $g \in H^x \cap H^y \cap H^z \cap H^t$. Thus the action of H on X is regular, so the size of every H -orbit is equal to $|H| = 1152$. The intersection $H^x \cap H^y \cap H^z \cap H^t$ is a cyclic group of order 2, which is generated by $a = (1, 8)(2, 4)(3, 6)(5, 7)$. The equality $H \cap (H^x)^g \cap (H^y)^g \cap (H^z)^g \cap (H^t)^g = \{e\}$ is true if and only if $a^g \notin H$. More over, the equality $N_G(H) = H$ implies that four-tuples

$$((H^x)^{g_1}, (H^y)^{g_1}, (H^z)^{g_1}, (H^t)^{g_1}), ((H^x)^{g_2}, (H^y)^{g_2}, (H^z)^{g_2}, (H^t)^{g_2})$$

coincide if and only if $g_1 g_2^{-1} \in \langle a \rangle$. Direct calculations (or by using [12]) it is easy to check that $G \setminus H$ contains 72 conjugate with a elements. Further more $C_G(a) \simeq 2 \wr \text{Sym}_4$ and $|C_G(a)| = 384$. So the cardinality of X is equal to $72 * 192 = 13824$. Therefore H has at least $13824/1152 = 12$ orbits on X .

(II) Assume that S is either a sporadic group or the Tits group. If H is a Sylow subgroup of G then by [4, Corollary C] it follows that there exist $x, y \in G$ such that $H \cap H^x \cap H^y = \{e\}$. So we may assume that the order of H is divisible by at least two primes.

Assume first that the order of H is divisible by precisely two primes r, p and $H = R : P$, where $R \in \text{Syl}_r(H)$, $P \in \text{Syl}_p(H)$ and $O_p(H) = \{e\}$. Assume also that either r is odd or $S = \text{Aut}(S)$. Since for every sporadic group and Tits group the group of its

outer automorphisms is a 2-group, then $R \leq S$ under our assumption. By [13] there exists $x \in S$ such that $R \cap R^x = \{e\}$. Hence, up to conjugation in H we may assume that $H \cap H^x \leq P$. By [3] it follows that there exist $y, z \in R$ such that $P \cap P^y \cap P^z = \{e\}$. So $(H \cap H^x) \cap (H \cap H^x)^y \cap (H \cap H^x)^z = H \cap H^x \cap H^{xy} \cap H^{xz} = \{e\}$.

If the order of H is divisible by two primes r, p only and $H = R \times P$, where $R \in \text{Syl}_r(H)$, $P \in \text{Syl}_p(H)$, then one of these primes, say r , is odd and again $R \leq S$. By [13] there exists an element $x \in S$ such that $R \cap R^x = \{e\}$. More over, by [4, Corollary C] there exist $y, z \in G$ such that $P \cap P^y \cap P^z = \{e\}$. Hence $H \cap H^x \cap H^y \cap H^z = \{e\}$.

By [14, Theorem 6.14 and Table III] and [15, Theorem 4.1] it follows that a π -Hall subgroup H of a sporadic group S such that the order of H is divisible by at least two primes and the structure of H is not covered by cases considered above exists only if either $S \simeq M_{23}$, $H \simeq 2^4 : (3 \times \text{Alt}_4) : 2 \simeq (2^4 : 2^2) : 3^2 : 2$, or $S \simeq J_1$, $H \simeq 2^3 : 7 : 3$. In both cases we have $S = \text{Aut}(S) = G$.

Assume first that $S \simeq M_{23}$, $H \simeq (2^4 : 2^2) : 3^2 : 2 = R : P : Q$. By [13] there exists $x \in G$ such that $R \cap R^x = \{e\}$, therefore we may assume that $H \cap H^x \leq P : Q$. By Corollary 1 there exists $y \in R$ such that $(H \cap H^x) \cap (H \cap H^x)^y = H \cap H^x \cap H^{xy}$ and $|H \cap H^x \cap H^{xy}| \leq 2$. Again by using Corollary 1, we find an element $z \in H \cap H^x$ with

$$(H \cap H^x \cap H^{xy}) \cap (H \cap H^x \cap H^{xy})^z = H \cap H^x \cap H^{xy} \cap H^{xyz} = \{e\}.$$

Assume, that $S \simeq J_1 \simeq G$, $H \simeq 2^3 : (7 : 3) = R : P$, where $R = 2^3 = O_2(H) \in \text{Syl}_2(H)$ and $P \in \text{Hall}_{\{3,7\}}(H)$, moreover $O_{\{3,7\}}(H) = \{e\}$. By [13] it follows that there exists $x \in S$ such that $R \cap R^x = \{e\}$, so, up to conjugation in H , we may assume that $H \cap H^x \leq P$. By [5] there exist $y, z \in R$ such that $P \cap P^y \cap P^z = \{e\}$. Hence $H \cap H^x \cap H^{xy} \cap H^{xz} = \{e\}$. $\square$

Authors

Vdovin Evgeny Petrovitch

Sobolev Institute of mathematics SB RAS

e-mail:vdovin@math.nsc.ru

Zenkov Victor Ivanovitch

Institute of mathematics and mechanics UrB RAS

References

- [1] Atlas of finite groups // Conway J.H. [et al.] Oxford: Clarendon Press, 1985. 250 p.
- [2] **Hall P.** Theorems like Sylow's // Proc. London Math. Soc. 1956. Vol. 6. P.286–304.
- [3] **Passman D.S.** Groups with normal solvable Hall p' -subgroups // Trans. Amer. Math. Soc. 1966. Vol 123, no 1. P.99–111.
- [4] **Zenkov V.I.** Intersections of nilpotent subgroups in finite groups // Fund.prikl.mat.. 1996. Vol. 2, no 1, P.1–92. (In Russian)
- [5] **Dolfi S.** Intersections of odd order Hall subgroups // Bull.London Math.Soc. 2005. Vol. 37, no. 1. P.61–66.

- [6] **Dolfi S.** Large orbits in coprime actions of solvable groups // Trans. AMS. 2008. Vol. 360, no 1. P.135–152.
- [7] **Vdovin E.P.** Regular orbits of solvable linear p' -groups // Siberian Electronic Mathematical Reports. 2007. Vol. 4. P.345–360.
- [8] **Seress A.** The minimal base size of primitive solvable permutation groups // J.London Math.Soc.(2). 1996. Vol. 53. P.243–255.
- [9] **Huppert B.** Endliche Gruppen I. Berlin: Springer, 1967. 808 p.
- [10] **Zenkov V.I.** Intersections of Abelian subgroups in finite groups // Math. Notes. 1994. Vol. 56, no. 1-2, P.869–871
- [11] **Revin D.O., Vdovin E.P.**, Hall subgroups of finite groups // Ischia Group theory 2004: Proceedings of a Conference in Honor of Marcel Herzog. Contemporary Mathematics, AMS. 2006. Vol. 402. P.229–263.
- [12] The GAP Group, *GAP — Groups, Algorithms, and Programming, Version 4.4.10*, 2008
// (`\protect\vrule width0pt\protect\href{http://www.gap-system.org}{http://www.gap-sy`
- [13] **Mazurov V.D, Zenkov V.I.** Intersection of Sylow subgroups in finite simple groups
// Algebra and Logic. 1996. Vol. 35, no. 4. P.236–240
- [14] **Gross F.** On a conjecture of Philip Hall // Proc.London Math.Soc. III. 1986. Vol. 53, no 3. P.464–494.
- [15] **Revin D.O.** D_π -property in one class of finite groups // Algebra and logic. 2002. Vol. 41, no 3. P.335–370.